
Section 6 Summary of Consultation

6.1 Consultation for the AISP

In accordance with Stipulation III of the project Programmatic Agreement (PA), finalized on January 18, 2011, CSH, the City, and the City's representatives have pursued consultation with a range of state agencies, Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and cultural descendants in order to receive input on the scope of the work and design of the Airport Section 3 AIS. These consultation efforts and results are outlined in the Airport Section 3 AISP (Hammatt and Shideler 2011) and are described below.

On June 1 and 3, 2011, consultation emails seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the Airport Section 3 study area and the vicinity, as well as a request for potential consultation contacts, were sent to the following state agencies and Native Hawaiian Organizations (see Appendix D):

1. Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA),
2. O'ahu Island Burial Council (OIBC),
3. State Historic Preservation Division, Department of Land and Natural Resources (SHPD/DLNR), and
4. Hui Mālama I Nā Kūpuna O Hawai'i Nei

Consultation letters were also mailed simultaneously via post to the above organizations. CSH received a consultation response letter from OHA dated June 30, 2011, acknowledging receipt of the letter, expressing a request for test excavations as close to areas of proposed ground disturbance as possible, and stating that no consultation referrals were offered at this time (see description below and Appendix D).

A consultation response letter was also received from SHPD dated August 11, 2011, offering several suggestions for consultation outreach (see Appendix D). SHPD's acceptance letter for the AISP (Hammatt and Shideler 2011) was dated December 2, 2011 (Log No. 2011.2167, Doc. No. 1211NN01).

Additionally, CSH investigated whether any NHOs specifically associated with the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā or Moanalua could be identified. No Hawaiian civic clubs or other Native Hawaiian organizations were identified in this area.

On June 8, 2011, CSH attended the OIBC general meeting at the Kalanimoku Building in order to present updates for all four construction sections of the HHCTCP. Regarding Airport Section 3, Matt McDermott of CSH provided the OIBC members with handouts depicting the project corridor route and transit stations for Section 3 and requested any consultation outreach recommendations. The OIBC acknowledged receipt of the consultation letter but did not have any outreach recommendations for Construction Section 3 at that time.

During the June 8, 2011, OIBC general meeting, two individuals, Ms. Amelia Gora and Ms. Kawehi Kanu'i, presented their genealogical connection to the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā as part of the public testimony. Pursuant to the consultation effort for Airport Section 3, CSH emailed consultation letters on June 13, 2011, to both individuals seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the study area and vicinity (see Appendix D). CSH received several

response emails on June 13, 18, 19, and 20, 2011. In her email response, Ms. Gora provided detailed information and attachments regarding sovereignty and land acquisition issues concerning Hālawā Ahupua'a and Pearl Harbor. However, she declined to disclose any cultural or other historic knowledge. Ms. Kanu'i expressed her opposition to the HHCTCP as well as addressing sovereignty and land ownership issues. As a descendent of the Airport Section 3 corridor and Hālawā, she expressed concern for the disturbance of *iwi kūpuna* and stated a claim to all bones within the area.

Additionally, on June 29 and 30, 2011, CSH corresponded with Keola Lindsey of the OHA regarding the Airport Section 3 AISP. Mr. Lindsey placed a telephone voicemail message on June 29, 2011 to Matt McDermott of CSH requesting further information on the Airport Section 3 AIS. Mr. McDermott emailed a reply on June 29, attaching several figures depicting the proposed test excavation locations for the corridor in relation to documented LCAs, and two nineteenth century maps with an overlay of the Airport Section 3 route. He explained the comparable sampling strategies of Section 2 and Section 3 of the HHCTCP and the reasons that the Airport Section 3 was considered the least archaeologically sensitive of the four project sections. Mr. McDermott also offered to meet with Mr. Lindsey to discuss the draft AISP for Airport Section 3. On June 30, Mr. Lindsey replied via email that his questions had been answered and that there would be no need for a specific meeting.

In OHA's June 30, 2011, consultation response letter (see above), OHA acknowledged the detailed email response provided by CSH and stated that the figures provided were very helpful. In the letter OHA recognized the difficulties posed by such a heavily developed study area and requested that, to the extent possible, CSH conduct the archaeological investigations within areas which will be subject to ground disturbing activities.

6.2 Consultation for the AIS

No cultural resources appropriate for detailed Native Hawaiian cultural consultation (e.g., for cultural resources potentially significant under criterion E of the Hawai'i Register of Historic Places—refer to Section 10 of this report) have been identified in the course of the AIS for Airport Section 3 of the HHCTCP. Extensive on-going outreach consultation efforts associated with finds in the HHCTCP City Center (Section 4) AIS have included periodic updates of the status of excavations and finds in Airport Section 3.

During the Airport Section 3 AIS fieldwork, and subsequently during the preparation of this AIS report, CSH consulted frequently with the OIBC and SHPD throughout late 2012 and early 2013 regarding the progress and results of the AIS investigation. Presentations to the OIBC at their monthly August, September, October, November, and December 2012, and January and February 2013, meetings included updates on the Airport Section 3 AIS results and the status of AIS report preparation. During this same time period (later 2012 and early 2013) CSH met twice monthly with SHPD to discuss the progress and results of the AIS investigations for Airport and the City Center HHCTCP construction sections. During these discussions in early 2013, and in follow up emails, the significance of identified archaeological cultural resources was discussed, along with project effect and mitigation measure recommendations for the Airport Section 3 AIS report.

On February 20, 2013, CSH and the City met with OHA and updated their archaeological and cultural staff (Ms. Lauren Morawski, Mr. Kai Markell, and Mr. Jerry Norris) on the Airport

Section 3 AIS results. During this OHA consultation meeting, CSH staff described the archaeological cultural resources documented, along with their significance and proposed mitigation measures. Additionally, CSH presented updates of the Airport Section 3 AIS investigation at several public meetings (November 8 and 27, 2012, December 17, 2012, and February 7, 2013) arranged to consult with potential cultural descendants of Hālawa and Moanalua *iwi kūpuna*.